

The Audit Findings Report for Avon Pension Fund

Year ended 31 March 2023

13 November 2023



Contents



Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Peter Barber

Key Audit Partner

T 0117 305 7897

E Peter.A.Barber@uk.gt.com

Beth Bowers

Senior Manager

T 0117 305 7726

E Beth.AC.Garner@uk.gt.com

Section	Page
1. Headlines	3
2. Financial statements	5
3. Independence and ethics	17
Appendices	
A. Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance	20
B. Action plan – Audit of Financial Statements	21
C. Follow up of prior year recommendations	23
D. Audit Adjustments	26
E. Fees and non-audit services	28
F. Auditing developments	30
G. Management Letter of Representation	31
H. Audit opinion	32

This Audit Findings presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260. Its contents have been discussed with management.

Name: Peter Barber
For Grant Thornton UK LLP
13 November 2023

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Pension Fund or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

1. Headlines

This table summarises the key findings and other matters arising from the statutory audit of Avon Pension Fund ('the Pension Fund') and the preparation of the Pension Fund's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2023 for the attention of those charged with governance.

Financial Statements

Under International Standards of Audit (UK) (ISAs) and the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to report whether, in our opinion:

- the Pension Fund's financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the Pension Fund during the year ended 31 March 2023 and of the amount and disposition at that date of the fund's assets and liabilities, other than liabilities to pay promised retirement benefits after the end of the fund year; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting and prepared in accordance with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We commenced our post-statements audit in June and as at 8 November 2023 our audit is substantially complete. This year we undertook the audit remotely utilising a pooled pension team across our 5 LGPS audits in the South West.

Our findings are summarised on pages 5 to 18.

We have identified no material errors or adjustments to the financial statements and there are no matters arising to date that would require modification of our audit opinion. We have recommended a number of other adjustments to improve the presentation of the financial statements. Audit adjustments are detailed in Appendix D. We have also raised recommendations for management as a result of our audit work in Appendix B. Our follow up of recommendations from the prior year's audit are detailed in Appendix C.

We have concluded that the other information to be published with the financial statements, is consistent with our knowledge of your organisation and the financial statements we have audited.

The draft financial statements were presented for audit in accordance with the agreed timetable. Whilst in the main, good quality working papers were provided to support entries, we did encounter issues that resulted in additional, unplanned audit work.

This additional work reflects the continuous raising of the bar and us as auditors providing greater challenge to the Pension Fund especially in the areas subject to greatest estimation and uncertainty. This additional time has resulted in a proposed further increase in audit fees for 2022/23 as set out in Appendix E.

Subject to a small number of audit procedures being completed, we anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion following the completion of the Bath and North East Somerset Council audit.

We are required to give a separate opinion for the Pension Fund Annual Report on whether the financial statements included therein are consistent with the audited financial statements. We propose to issue our 'consistency' opinion on the Pension Funds Annual Report, subject to final review processes.

Our anticipated opinion on the financial statements will be unmodified.

1. Headlines

National context - audit backlog

Nationally there have been significant delays in the completion of audit work and the issuing of audit opinions across the local government sector. Only 1% (5 of 467) of local government bodies had received audit opinions in time to publish their 2022/23 accounts by the deadline of 30 September 2023. Although the Avon Pension Fund 2022/23 audit is nearing completion, we are unable to issue our opinion until we have issued our opinion for the administering body, in Avon Pension Fund's case, Bath and North East Somerset Council, which is anticipated in November 2023.

We at Grant Thornton have a strong desire and a firm commitment to complete as many audits as soon as possible and to address the backlog of unsigned opinions.

Over the course of the last year, Grant Thornton has been working constructively with DLUHC, the FRC and the other audit firms to identify ways of rectifying the challenges which have been faced by our sector, and we recognise the difficulties these backlogs have caused authorities across the country. We have also published a report setting out our consideration of the issues behind the delays and our thoughts on how these could be mitigated. Please see [About time? \(grantthornton.co.uk\)](https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/about-time/)

We would like to thank everyone at the Pension Fund for their support in working with us to resolve delays and complexities to complete the audit in a timely manner.

Local context - triennial valuation

Triennial valuations for local government pension funds have been published. These valuations, which are as at 31 March 2022, provide updated information regarding the funding position of the Pension Fund and set employer contribution rates for the period 2023/24 - 2025/26. For the Pension Fund, the valuation was undertaken by Mercer, and the overall funding position improved. The results of the latest triennial valuation are reflected in note 15 to the accounts to the financial statements. These valuations also provide updated information for the net pension liability on employer balance sheets.

We have performed testing of the completeness and accuracy of triennial valuation source data. This was to support our work providing assurances to auditors of employer bodies. As part of this work, we tested a sample of 51 individuals and found the source data to be complete and accurate, despite challenges faced with one sample item. This additional testing is only required after each triennial review, rather than annually. See Appendix E for the impact of this work on our 2022/23 audit fee.

2. Financial Statements

Overview of the scope of our audit

This Audit Findings Report presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260 and the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'). Its contents have been discussed with management prior to presentation to the Corporate Audit Committee.

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Code, which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

For Avon Pension Fund, the Corporate Audit Committee fulfil the role of those charged with governance. The Pension Committee considers the draft financial statements and is part of the overall member oversight process.

Audit approach

Our audit approach was based on a thorough understanding of the Pension Fund's business and is risk based, and in particular included:

- An evaluation of the Pension Fund's internal controls environment, including its IT systems and controls; and
- Substantive testing on significant transactions and material account balances, including the procedures outlined in this report in relation to the key audit risks

Conclusion

We have substantially completed our audit of your financial statements and subject to a small number of outstanding queries being resolved, we anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion following the completion of the Bath and North East Somerset Council.

These outstanding items include:

- review of the Annual report;
- Testing of purchases and sales;
- Final review processes;
- receipt of management representation letter see appendix H; and
- review of the final set of financial statements.

Acknowledgements

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the assistance provided by the finance team and other staff. As part of our audit procedures, we identified several issues in relation to the reconciliation of several populations, discussions about prior period adjustments and difficulty obtaining IT evidence.

This resulted in us having to carry out additional audit procedures, as summarised on page 13 to gain sufficient audit assurance in respect of our auditor's opinion on the financial statements.

2. Financial Statements



Our approach to materiality

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law.

Materiality levels remain the same as reported in our audit plan in July 2023. We have determined both materiality over the financial statements and set a lower materiality over the fund account transactions.

We set out in this table our determination of materiality for the Pension Fund.

Pension Fund Amount (£) Qualitative factors considered

Materiality for the financial statements	61.9m	We considered the proportion of net assets to the Fund to be an appropriate benchmark for the financial year. In the prior year we used the same benchmark. Our materiality equates to approximately 1% of your net assets for the year ended 31 March 2022.
Performance materiality	43.3m	We have determined this using 75% of materiality. This is considered an appropriate benchmark as we have not identified a history of significant deficiencies in the control environment or a large number of significant misstatements in prior year audits. In addition, the management and finance team remain stable.
Trivial matters	3.1m	This is based on 5% of materiality, which we consider to be an appropriate threshold to use in terms of our reporting to the Corporate Audit Committee as 'Those Charged with Governance'.
Materiality for fund account	23.8m	Due to the sensitivity of the fund account disclosures to those stakeholders who are admitted members of the Fund, we have determined a lower materiality threshold over the relevant fund account disclosures.



2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Significant risks are defined by ISAs (UK) as risks that, in the judgement of the auditor, require special audit consideration. In identifying risks, audit teams consider the nature of the risk, the potential magnitude of misstatement, and its likelihood. Significant risks are those risks that have a higher risk of material misstatement.

This section provides commentary on the significant audit risks communicated in the Audit Plan.

Risks identified in our Audit Plan	Commentary
<p>Management override of controls</p> <p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management override of controls is present in all entities.</p> <p>We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk.</p>	<p>We have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • evaluated the design effectiveness of management controls over journals; • analysed the journals listing and determined the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals; • tested unusual journals recorded during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration; • gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied by management and considered their reasonableness with regard to corroborative evidence; and • evaluated the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions. <p>Our testing of estimates, judgements and journals has not identified any evidence of management override of controls. We have raised one control recommendation in Appendix B relating to our journals work.</p>
<p>Improper revenue recognition (rebutted)</p> <p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.</p>	<p>We rebutted this risk in our Audit Plan. We have reconsidered this as part of our audit work on the financial statements and have not changed our assessment and therefore we confirm that we do not consider this to be a significant risk for Avon Pension Fund.</p> <p>Our audit work has not identified any issues in respect of improper revenue recognition.</p>
<p>Expenditure recorded includes fraudulent transactions (rebutted)</p> <p>Practice Note 10: Audit of Financial Statements of Public Sector Bodies in the United Kingdom (PN10) states:</p> <p>“As most public bodies are net spending bodies, then the risk of material misstatement due to fraud related expenditure may be greater than the risk of material misstatements due to fraud related revenue recognition”. Public sector auditors therefore need to consider whether they have any significant concerns about fraudulent financial reporting of expenditure which would need to be treated as a significant risk for the audit.</p>	<p>We rebutted this risk in our Audit Plan. We have reconsidered this as part of our audit work on the financial statements and have not changed our assessment and therefore we confirm that we do not consider this to be a significant risk for Avon Pension Fund.</p> <p>Our audit work has not identified any issues in respect of improper expenditure recognition.</p>

2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Valuation of Level 3 investments

The Fund revalues its investments on an annual basis at the year end to ensure that the carrying value is not materially different from the fair value at the financial statements date.

By their nature, Level 3 investment valuations lack observable inputs. These valuations therefore represent a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions.

Under ISA 315 significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgemental matters. Level 3 investments require a significant degree of judgement to reach an appropriate valuation at year end.

Management utilise the services of investment managers as valuation experts to estimate the fair value as at 31 March 2023.

We therefore identified valuation of Level 3 investments as a significant risk.

Commentary

We have:

- evaluated management's processes for valuing Level 3 investments
- reviewed the nature and basis of estimated values and considered what assurance management has over the year end valuations provided for these types of investments; to ensure that the requirements of the Code are met
- independently requested year-end confirmations from investment managers and custodians
- for a sample of investments, tested the valuation by obtaining and reviewing the audited accounts, (where available) at the latest date for individual investments and agreeing these to the fund manager reports at that date. Reconciled those values to the values at 31 March 2021 with reference to known movements in the intervening period
- in the absence of available audited accounts, we have evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert
- tested revaluations made during the year to see if they had been input correctly into the Pension Fund's asset register
- where available reviewed investment manager service auditor report on design effectiveness of internal controls.

Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified.

As highlighted above, our audit focuses on looking at external confirmations from both investments managers and the custodian, and as a result there will always be differences in when information is received compared to the information available when management are estimating the values for the accounts. This year, one individual differences identified were above our trivial threshold (£6m underestimated) and these are detailed on [page 9](#). The total aggregate difference identified for Level 3 investments was £14.5m.

2. Financial Statements: key judgements and estimates

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management's approach	Audit Comments	Assessment
Level 3 Investments – £1.226bn	The Pension Fund has investments in pooled property funds, pooled infrastructure funds, a long-term investment and hedge funds that in total are valued on the balance sheet as at 31 March 2023 at £1,226m. These investments are not traded on an open exchange/market and the valuation of the investments is highly subjective due to a lack of observable inputs. In order to determine the value, management has employed expert fund managers who have the necessary experience and technical expertise to ensure the correct valuation of these investments in the year end accounts. The fund are also supported by investment advisors who are independent from the fund managers who can advise on the performance of this type of investments. The performance of these investments are scrutinised by the pension fund investment board. The value of the investment has decreased by £85m in year, and level 3 investments and now account for 22.8% of the fund.	<p>As outlined in our testing of the valuation of level 3 investments we have;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assessed management's expert, reviewing their competence, expertise and objectivity where appropriate, - Considered the valuation techniques used against industry practice, and - Reviewed the adequacy of disclosures of estimate in the financial statements. <p>Our findings in relation to the testing of Level 3 investments identified small estimation differences. The total aggregate difference identified for Level 3 investments was a potential understatement of the estimates by £14.572m. This is due to timing differences and is not indicative of a deliberate cautious approach to estimation.</p> <p>Included within the overall difference of £14.572m was one difference which was individually greater than our trivial threshold:</p> <p>A difference of £6.0m in the investment: PRIVATE DEBT PORT CYCLE II</p>	We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

Assessment

- [Dark Purple] We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- [Blue] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- [Grey] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- [Light Purple] We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

2. Financial Statements: key judgements and estimates

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management's approach	Audit Comments	Assessment
Level 2 Investments – £3.902bn	The Pension Fund investments in level 2 on the balance sheet as at 31 March 2023 total £3,902m. The investments are not traded on an open exchange/market and the valuation of the investment is subjective. In order to determine the value, management has employed expert fund managers who have the necessary experience and technical expertise to ensure the correct valuation of these investments in the year end accounts. The fund are also supported by investment advisors who are independent from the fund managers who can advise on the performance of this type of investments. The performance of these investments are scrutinised by the pension fund investment board. The value of the investments has decreased by £315m compared to the prior year.	<p>Similar to our approach for level 3 investments, we have;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assessed management's expert, reviewing their competence, expertise and objectivity where appropriate, - Considered the valuation techniques used against industry practice, and - Reviewed the adequacy of disclosures of estimate in the financial statements. <p>Our findings in relation to the testing of Level 2 investments identified some estimation differences. None of the individual differences identified were above our trivial threshold, nor were they above that threshold cumulatively.</p>	We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

Assessment

- [Dark Purple] We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- [Blue] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- [Grey] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- [Light Purple] We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

2. Financial Statements - key judgements and estimates

Significant judgement or estimate

Summary of management's approach

Audit Comments

Assessment

Valuation of Pension Fund Liability

The fund has elected to take 'option B' from IAS 26 when considering the actuarial present value of promised retirement benefits, and as such presents this as a note to the accounts. Management have obtained this information from the actuary for the fund. The actuary has been provided with all of the necessary information using the annual returns required, which results in the actuary producing the valuation and required reporting paragraphs. The principal assumptions used by the actuary are in respect of mortality (longevity at 65 for current and future pensioners) and financial assumptions: rate of CPI inflation, rate of increase in salaries, rate of increase in pensions and rate for discounting scheme liabilities. The Council's Group Manager, Funding, Investment & Risk communicates with the externally appointed actuary throughout the year and the year-end report is considered by management prior to inclusion in the financial statements.

We have;

- Assessed management's expert, reviewing their competence, expertise and objectivity where appropriate,
- Considered the data upon which the valuation has been based
- Considered the reasonableness of the assumptions used, and
- Reviewed the adequacy of disclosures of estimate in the financial statements.

We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious.

Measurement of Financial Instruments

The Fund values its financial instruments at fair value, as informed by the advice of external and independent Management advisors and Investment Fund Managers. Fair values are estimated by calculating the present value of cash flows that take place over the remaining term of the instruments, as provided by management experts. Management consider the exposure of each of its categories of financial instruments to credit, liquidity and market risks. Risks to accounting estimates used in our measurement of financial instruments are managed through our Pensions Investment Strategy Statement and the Funds overall risk management procedures which focus on the unpredictability of financial markets to minimise potential adverse effects on the resources available to fund sources.

We have;

- Assessed management's expert, reviewing their competence, expertise and objectivity where appropriate,
- Considered the valuation techniques used against industry practice, and
- Reviewed the adequacy of disclosures of estimate in the financial statements.









We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious.

Assessment





- **Dark Purple** We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- **Blue** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- **Grey** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- **Light Purple** We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

2. Financial Statements: Information Technology

This section provides an overview of results from our assessment of Information Technology (IT) environment and controls which included identifying risks from the use of IT related to business process controls relevant to the financial audit. This includes an overall IT General Control (ITGC) rating per IT system and details of the ratings assigned to individual control areas.

IT application	Level of assessment performed	Overall ITGC rating	ITGC control area rating			Related significant risks/other risks	Additional procedures carried out to address risks arising from our findings
			Security management	Technology acquisition, development and maintenance	Technology infrastructure		
Agresso	Roll-forward ITGC assessment (design effectiveness only)					N/A	N/A
Active Directory	Roll-forward ITGC assessment (design effectiveness only)					Access controls have been taken into account as part of our strategy for testing of journals to address the risk of management override of controls	

Assessment

-  Significant deficiencies identified in IT controls relevant to the audit of financial statements
-  Non-significant deficiencies identified in IT controls relevant to the audit of financial statements/significant deficiencies identified but with sufficient mitigation of relevant risk
-  IT controls relevant to the audit of financial statements judged to be effective at the level of testing in scope
-  Not in scope for testing

2. Financial Statements - matters discussed with management

This section provides commentary on the significant matters we discussed with management during the course of the audit.

Significant matter	Commentary
<p>The draft financial statements were presented for audit in accordance with the agreed timetable. Whilst in the main, good quality working papers were provided to support entries, we did encounter issues that resulted in additional, unplanned audit work.</p> <p>This additional work reflects the continuous raising of the bar and us as auditors providing greater challenge to the Pension Fund especially in the areas subject to greatest estimation and uncertainty. This additional time has resulted in a proposed further increase in audit fees for 2022/23 as set out in Appendix D.</p>	<p>Additional work was required due to the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Purchases and Sales populations did not reconcile to the draft financial statements - We encountered reconciliation issues with the Contributions population provided to us, which resulted in delays in being able to select our sample of contributions. - One triennial valuation sample provided difficult to evidence, requiring additional discussions with management to verify the appropriateness of the source data.

2. Financial Statements: other communication requirements

We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by auditing standards and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

Issue	Commentary
Matters in relation to fraud	We have previously discussed the risk of fraud with the Corporate Audit Committee. We have not been made aware of any other incidents in the period and no other issues have been identified during the course of our audit procedures.
Matters in relation to related parties	We are not aware of any related parties or related party transactions which have not been disclosed.
Matters in relation to laws and regulations	You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.
Written representations	A letter of representation will be requested from the Pension Fund.
Confirmation requests from third parties	We requested from management permission to send confirmation requests to all of the fund managers that work with the Fund and all banking institutions that management. This permission was granted and the requests were sent, of these requests all were returned with positive confirmation.
Accounting practices	We have evaluated the appropriateness of the Pension Fund's accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures. Our review found no material omissions in the financial statements.
Audit evidence and explanations/ significant difficulties	All information and explanations requested from management was provided. We experienced a number of difficulties in obtaining a population for purchases and sales testing. We also identified a few differences in reconciliations between notes in the financial statements.

2. Financial Statements: other communication requirements



Our responsibility

As auditors, we are required to “obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern assumption in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements and to conclude whether there is a material uncertainty about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern” (ISA (UK) 570).

Issue	Commentary
Going concern	<p>In performing our work on going concern, we have had reference to Statement of Recommended Practice – Practice Note 10: Audit of financial statements of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (Revised 2020). The Financial Reporting Council recognises that for particular sectors, it may be necessary to clarify how auditing standards are applied to an entity in a manner that is relevant and provides useful information to the users of financial statements in that sector. Practice Note 10 provides that clarification for audits of public sector bodies.</p> <p>Practice Note 10 sets out the following key principles for the consideration of going concern for public sector entities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the use of the going concern basis of accounting is not a matter of significant focus of the auditor's time and resources because the applicable financial reporting frameworks envisage that the going concern basis for accounting will apply where the entity's services will continue to be delivered by the public sector. In such cases, a material uncertainty related to going concern is unlikely to exist, and so a straightforward and standardised approach for the consideration of going concern will often be appropriate for public sector entities for many public sector entities, the financial sustainability of the reporting entity and the services it provides is more likely to be of significant public interest than the application of the going concern basis of accounting. <p>Practice Note 10 states that if the financial reporting framework provides for the adoption of the going concern basis of accounting on the basis of the anticipated continuation of the provision of a service in the future, the auditor applies the continued provision of service approach set out in Practice Note 10. The financial reporting framework adopted by the Pension Fund meets this criteria, and so we have applied the continued provision of service approach. In doing so, we have considered and evaluated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the nature of the Pension Fund and the environment in which it operates the Pension Fund's financial reporting framework the Pension Fund's system of internal control for identifying events or conditions relevant to going concern management's going concern assessment. <p>On the basis of this work, we have obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to enable us to conclude that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a material uncertainty related to going concern has not been identified management's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate.

2. Financial Statements: other responsibilities under the Code

Issue	Commentary
Other information	The Pension Fund is administered by Bath & North East Somerset Council (the 'Council'), and the Pension Fund's accounts form part of the Council's financial statements. We are required to read any other information published alongside the Council's financial statements to check that it is consistent with the Pension Fund financial statements on which we give an opinion and is consistent with our knowledge of the Authority. No inconsistencies have been identified. Our work is still in progress but will be completed prior to issuing the audit opinion. We plan to issue an unmodified opinion in this respect – refer to Appendix H
Matters on which we report by exception	We are required to give a separate opinion for the Pension Fund Annual Report on whether the financial statements included therein are consistent with the audited financial statements. We propose to issue our 'consistency' opinion on the Pension Fund's Annual Report at the same time as the financial statements opinion. We are required to report if we have applied any of our statutory powers or duties as outlined in the Code. We have nothing to report on these matters.



3. Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention and consider that an objective reasonable and informed third party would take the same view. We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and confirm that we, as a firm, and each covered person, are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued in May 2020 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies.

Details of fees charged are detailed in Appendix E.

Transparency

Grant Thornton publishes an annual Transparency Report, which sets out details of the action we have taken over the past year to improve audit quality as well as the results of internal and external quality inspections. For more details see [Grant Thornton International Transparency report 2023](#).

3. Independence and ethics

Audit and non-audit services

For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Pension Fund. No non-audit services were identified which were charged from the beginning of the financial year to September 2023, as well as the threats to our independence and safeguards that have been applied to mitigate these threats. Note that fees for IAS 19 letters for employer body auditors were classed as non-audit fees prior to 2022/23. The National Audit Office have confirmed that the provision of IAS 19 assurances should be considered work undertaken under the Code of Audit Practice for 2022/23 onwards.

As part of our assessment of our independence we note the following matters:

Matter	Conclusion
Relationships with Grant Thornton	We are not aware of any relationships between Grant Thornton and the Pension Fund that may reasonably be thought to bear on our integrity, independence and objectivity.
Relationships and Investments held by individuals	We have not identified any potential issues in respect of personal relationships with the Pension Fund held by individuals.
Employment of Grant Thornton staff	We are not aware of any former Grant Thornton partners or staff being employed, or holding discussions in respect of employment, by the Pension Fund as a director or in a senior management role covering financial, accounting or control related areas.
Business relationships	We have not identified any business relationships between Grant Thornton and the Pension Fund.
Contingent fees in relation to non-audit services	No contingent fee arrangements are in place for future non-audit services and we confirm there were no fees for the provision of audit-related or non-audit services.
Gifts and hospitality	We have not identified any gifts or hospitality provided to, or received from, a member of the Pension Fund's board, senior management or staff.

Appendices

- A. Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance
- B. Action plan – Audit of Financial Statements
- C. Follow up of prior year recommendations
- D. Audit Adjustments
- E. Fees and non-audit services
- F. Auditing developments
- G. Management Letter of Representation
- H. Audit opinion

A. Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance

Our communication plan	Audit Plan	Audit Findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	•	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit, form, timing and expected general content of communications including significant risks	•	
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	•	•
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence. Relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence. Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged. Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence	•	•
Significant findings from the audit		•
Significant matters and issue arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		•
Significant difficulties encountered during the audit		•
Significant deficiencies in internal control identified during the audit		•
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		•
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		•
Non-compliance with laws and regulations		•
Unadjusted misstatements and material disclosure omissions		•
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter		•

ISA (UK) 260, as well as other ISAs (UK), prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table here.

This document, the Audit Findings, outlines those key issues, findings and other matters arising from the audit, which we consider should be communicated in writing rather than orally, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

Respective responsibilities

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit in accordance with ISAs (UK), which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance.



The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities.

Distribution of this Audit Findings Report




Whilst we seek to ensure our audit findings are distributed to those individuals charged with governance, we are also required to distribute our findings to those members of senior management with significant operational and strategic responsibilities. We are grateful for your specific consideration and onward distribution of our report to all those charged with governance.

B. Action Plan – Audit of Financial Statements

We have identified 4 recommendations for the Pension Fund as a result of issues identified during the course of our audit. We have agreed our recommendations with management and we will report on progress on these recommendations during the course of the 2023/24 audit. The matters reported here are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during the course of our audit and that we have concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to you in accordance with auditing standards.

Assessment	Issue and risk	Recommendations
	<p>Reconciliations of the Contributions population we identified a £1.18m difference between the listing provided and the ledger balance. It is understood that these differences arise when employers send insufficient or incorrect data and primarily relate to discounts and adjustments between months.</p>	<p>While the difference is not significant, we would recommend that management undertakes a reconciliation exercise to ensure the contributions populations reconcile to the financial statements.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>£1.32m of the difference relates to FSR contributions paid in advance. Actual difference, relating to insufficient/incorrect data is therefore is £0.14m. FSR contributions in advance are reconciled separately and, by their nature, employer contributions reported on the spreadsheet are more than the actual contributions (i.e. equal the discount received).</p>
	<p>Our testing of benefits identified one individual within the dependents population who had passed away in 2019. While the fund had ceased all benefit payments, the individual was still included in the total benefit cost for the year. We assessed the potential impact of this error and identified that there could be an overstatement of benefits in the range of £700k to £1.6m.</p>	<p>While the projected error is not significant, we recommend that management seeks to review dependent benefit recipients to confirm that those they are no longer making payments to are removed from the system. Undertaking regular reconciliations between the system and actual benefit payments will also help to identify differences for follow-up.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>The members benefits were suspended in their record at the time the death was reported. The status hadn't been updated but was immediately updated as soon as it was picked up by the audit. The report used for the sample lists all pensioners from Altair and their expected benefits for the year. The Final Accounts use the actual pensions paid in year.</p>

Controls

-  High – Significant effect on financial statements
-  Medium – Limited Effect on financial statements
-  Low – Best practice

B. Action Plan – Audit of Financial Statements

We have identified 4 recommendations for the Pension Fund as a result of issues identified during the course of our audit. We have agreed our recommendations with management and we will report on progress on these recommendations during the course of the 2023/24 audit. The matters reported here are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during the course of our audit and that we have concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to you in accordance with auditing standards.

Assessment	Issue and risk	Recommendations
	Our journals testing identified that journals posted by David Richards were not formally reviewed.	<p>We recommend implementing a process for formal review of journals posted by David to ensure that the postings are free from error.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>Agreed - a new process needs to be implemented whereby David Richards journals are reviewed on a monthly basis.</p>
	Our related parties testing identified that neither the Fund, nor the administering authority was able to produce a declaration of interest for one of the independent members of the pension fund committees.	<p>We recommend that all members, even where independent are asked to complete a declaration of independence.</p> <p>Management response</p> <p>Agreed - will follow up with the individual at the next committee meeting</p>

Controls

- High – Significant effect on financial statements
- Medium – Limited Effect on financial statements
- Low – Best practice

C. Follow up of prior year recommendations

We identified the following issues in the audit of Avon Pension Fund Pension Fund's 2021/22 financial statements, which resulted in 10 recommendations being reported in our 2021/22 Audit Findings Report. We are pleased to report that management have implemented most of our recommendations.

Assessment	Issue and risk previously communicated	Update on actions taken to address the issue
Partially addressed	As part of our risk assessment procedures around Journals, we identified that the fund were reviewing all journals at year end rather than throughout the year. We also identified that user reviews are scheduled to take place quarterly. Both findings leave the fund open to significant time passing before issues are identified.	Management has confirmed that journals were reviewed monthly from November onwards. The Fund's aim is to complete user reviews monthly, five were carried out in 22/23 following this recommendation.
✓	Our reconciliation of the current year comparative figures to last year's Avon pension Fund Annual Report identified two immaterial differences in the figures published to those included in the 2021-22 draft financial statements. We identified that the fund had included the correct figures in the B&NES Signed accounts for 2020-21, but that the Pension Fund Annual Report had not been updated.	Management have now confirmed that part of Year end preparation process now involves checking that the published annual report matches the audited accounts.
✓	Our journals testing identified one off ledger adjustment. While this year the adjustment is trivial in value, there is a risk that off ledger adjustments are incorrectly processed or accidentally excluded.	Management have confirmed that this should have been followed up. However, it relates to a long-term debtor arising from the Life Time Tax Allowance, which has been removed from effect from 6th April 2023, so will always be trivial in value. We are satisfied given the triviality of the issue no further action is required.
✓	Our contributions testing identified that one Pension Fund officer altered the contributions reconciliation to reflect a value different to one of the admitted bodies LGPS 50 forms. The amendment was made to reflect the fact that the admitted body was suggesting their return was incorrect.	We did not identify any such issues in 2022-23 audit work.
✓	We identified that management are not updating cyber security policies	Management have confirmed that this is a responsibility of the B&NES IT Service as we use the same network as B&NES Council. We understand they have a dedicated Cysog team who have a focussed action plan on managing Cyber Risk including policies and procedures.
✓	We identified that management are not reporting their derivative assets and liabilities gross, but are accounting for them net.	Derivative assets and liabilities were reported gross this year.

Assessment

- ✓ Action completed
- X Not yet addressed

C. Follow up of prior year recommendations

Assessment	Issue and risk previously communicated	Update on actions taken to address the issue
Partially	<p>Inadequate oversight around generic user in Agresso application</p> <p>During the audit, we obtained and inspected the evidence relating to the administration of generic accounts with privileged access within the Agresso application and observed that the access to a generic account [Administrator] is shared between the multiple administrators from Finance systems department. The Council has no controls in place to monitor the appropriateness of the activities performed by the account.</p> <p>Risk:</p> <p>The use of generic or shared accounts with high-level privileges increases the risk of unauthorised or inappropriate changes to the application or database. Where unauthorised activities are performed, they will not be traceable to an individual.</p> <p>Also, without appropriate audit logging and monitoring, unauthorised activities may not be detected in a timely manner, can go unnoticed, and evidence of whether the attack led to a breach can be inconclusive.</p>	<p>Management have confirmed that this is a responsibility of the B&NES Financial Systems Team. Action is part of their overall Financial Systems Improvement Programme and is in progress.</p>
Partially	<p>Lack of controls over granting new user access within Altair application</p> <p>Altair</p> <p>For a sample user, we noted that the new user access to be mirrored with appropriate user was not mentioned clearly in the new user creation form to verify appropriateness of roles granted against roles approved and had incorrect permissions to be granted within Altair. This lack of information made it difficult to verify whether the permissions requested versus the permissions granted were aligned, or whether the permissions requested were appropriate for the user's role.</p> <p>Risk</p> <p>User access may not be appropriately aligned to job role requirements which may lead to inappropriate access within the application or underlying data.</p>	<p>Management have confirmed that this is a responsibility of the B&NES Financial Systems Team. Action is part of their overall Financial Systems Improvement Programme and is in progress.</p>

Assessment

- ✓ Action completed
- X Not yet addressed

C. Follow up of prior year recommendations

Assessment	Issue and risk previously communicated	Update on actions taken to address the issue
Partially	<p>Lack of controls over user access amendments within Altair and Agresso application</p> <p>Altair: For a sample user, we noted that the existing user access request via email does not define the specific access rights that should be assigned to the user. This lack of information made it difficult to verify the appropriateness of user's access to the new access role.</p> <p>Agresso: For a sample user, we noted that the existing user access new request was not approved by appropriate Line manager as described in the Agresso user form. However, we noted that the existing user access request was approved by an appropriate user from the Budget team and access was provided by Financial Systems Team.</p> <p>Additionally, it was noted that there was system limitation to obtain the access modification date from the Agresso system which made it difficult to identify the date when the access was modified within the system to verify that the access was granted in the Agresso system after the access requested was approved.</p> <p>Risk</p> <p>User access may not be appropriately aligned to job role requirements and may lead to inappropriate access being gained to the application and/or inappropriate changes being made to underlying data.</p>	<p>Management have confirmed that this is a responsibility of the B&NES Financial Systems Team. Action is part of their overall Financial Systems Improvement Programme and is in progress.</p>
Partially	<p>Lack of review of security/audit logs in Agresso</p> <p>It was noted that whilst security/audit logs are enabled in Agresso, they are not proactively monitored or reviewed.</p> <p>Risks</p> <p>Without formal and routine reviews of security event logs, inappropriate and anomalous activity may not be detected and resolved in a timely manner.</p>	<p>Management have confirmed that this is a responsibility of the B&NES Financial Systems Team. Action is part of their overall Financial Systems Improvement Programme and is in progress.</p>

Assessment

- ✓ Action completed
- X Not yet addressed

D. Audit Adjustments

We are required to report all non trivial misstatements to those charged with governance, whether or not the accounts have been adjusted by management.

Impact of adjusted misstatements

No adjusted misstatements have been identified for the year ending 31 March 2023.

Misclassification and disclosure changes

The table below provides details of misclassification and disclosure changes identified during the audit which have been made in the final set of financial statements.

Disclosure/issue/Omission	Auditor recommendations	Adjusted?
General amendments to presentation, grammar, rounding and typographical errors were made in various areas of the accounts.	We brought these to the attention of management, who adjusted most of these errors.	✓
Management's first presentation of the financial instruments notes and investment notes 9 and 10 included discrepancies between the pension fund accounts and the amounts disclosed in the B&NES draft accounts.	Management confirmed that the Avon accounts were correct and adjusted the amounts disclosed in the B&NES draft accounts.	✓
The value of cash purchases and sales originally disclosed in Note 9 were adjusted as they did not agree to the State Street reports. Cash purchases were originally stated as £14.7m and adjusted to £2.9bn and receivables for sale were originally stated as £5.6m and were adjusted to £3.0bn.	We discussed these with management, who adjusted for the differences.	✓

D. Audit Adjustments (continued)

Impact of unadjusted estimation differences

The table below provides details of adjustments identified during the 2022/23 audit which have not been made within the final set of financial statements. The Corporate Audit Committee is required to approve management's proposed treatment of all items recorded within the table below.

Detail	Pension Fund Account £'000	Net Asset Statement £' 000	Impact on total net assets £'000	Reason for not adjusting
Estimation differences identified in the valuation of L3 Investments. Investments are estimated to be understated.		£14,572		Relates to estimation difference based on the timing of the audit compared to the timing of management's preparation of the financial statements. Management used the best available information at the time to produce financial statements.
Overall impact		£14,572		

Impact of prior year unadjusted misstatements

No prior year unadjusted misstatements were identified.

E. Fees and non-audit services

We confirm below our final fees charged for the audit and provision of non-audit services.

Audit fees	Proposed fee per Audit Plan	Final fee
Scale Fee	£25,305	£25,305
Impact of ISA 540 & increased focus on Level 2 & 3 Investments	£6,000	£6,000
Impact of ISA 315	£3,000	£3,000
Journals testing	£2,000	£2,000
Impact of additional FRC challenge	£3,750	£3,750
Reconciliation issues with contributions (see page 13)		£2,000
Reconciliation issues – purchases and sales (see page 13)		£5,000
Pension Fund Audit	40,055	47,055
IAS 19 letters for employer body auditors, including testing of 31 March 2022 triennial review *	£2,000	£2,000
IAS 19 assurance work for 31 March 2023	£13,700	£13,700
Work on triennial valuation member data *	£5,000	£6,000
Total audit fees (excluding VAT)	£60,755	£68,755

*Note that fees for IAS 19 letters for employer body auditors were classed as non-audit fees prior to 2022/23. The National Audit Office have confirmed that the provision of IAS 19 assurances to auditors of local government and NHS bodies should be considered work undertaken under the Code of Audit Practice for 2022/23 onwards. Provision of IAS 19 assurances to auditors of any other type of entity remains non-Code work.

E. Fees and non-audit services

Audit and non-audit services

The proposed fees of £60,775 do not reconcile to the financial statements total fee disclosure of £68,000. Once the following reconciliation items are taken into account the amounts agree within a reconciling difference:

- £20,000 Internal Audit Cost added
- £11,092 PSAA rebate deducted.

None of the above services were provided on a contingent fee basis.

This covers all services provided by us and our network to the group/company, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, and other services provided to other known connected parties that may reasonably be thought to bear on our integrity, objectivity or independence. (The FRC Ethical Standard (ES 1.69))

*Note that fees for IAS 19 letters for employer body auditors were classed as non-audit fees prior to 2022/23. The National Audit Office have confirmed that the provision of IAS 19 assurances to auditors of local government and NHS bodies should be considered work undertaken under the Code of Audit Practice for 2022/23 onwards. Provision of IAS 19 assurances to auditors of any other type of entity remains non-Code work. Please see below for details of the fees for this work in 2021/22 and the safeguards in place.

As set out above, fees for IAS 19 letters for employer body auditors were classed as non-audit fees prior to 2022/23.

The fee for this work in 2021/22, as reported in that year's Audit Findings Report, was £12,000 and this was invoiced in November 2022.

We set out below the threat to our independence and safeguard that has been applied to mitigate this threat.

Service	Fees £	Threat identified	Safeguard
Non-audit Related			
IAS19 Assurance letters for Admitted Bodies	12,000	Self-Interest (because this is a recurring fee)	The level of this recurring fee taken on its own is not considered a significant threat to independence as the fee for this work is £12,000 in comparison to the expected fee for the audit and in particular relative to Grant Thornton UK LLP's turnover overall. Further, it is a fixed fee and there is no contingent element to it. These factors all mitigate the perceived self-interest threat to an acceptable level.

F. Auditing developments

Revised ISAs

There are changes to the following ISA (UK):

ISA (UK) 315 (Revised July 2020) 'Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement'

This impacts audits of financial statement for periods commencing on or after 15 December 2021.

ISA (UK) 220 (Revised July 2021) 'Quality Management for an Audit of Financial Statements'

ISA (UK) 240 (Revised May 2021) 'The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements'

A summary of the impact of the key changes on various aspects of the audit is included below:

These changes will impact audit for audits of financial statement for periods commencing on or after 15 December 2022.

Area of change	Impact of changes
Risk assessment	The nature, timing and extent of audit procedures performed in support of the audit opinion may change due to clarification of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the risk assessment process, which provides the basis for the assessment of the risks of material misstatement and the design of audit procedures the identification and extent of work effort needed for indirect and direct controls in the system of internal control the controls for which design and implementation needs to be assess and how that impacts sampling the considerations for using automated tools and techniques.
Direction, supervision and review of the engagement	Greater responsibilities, audit procedures and actions are assigned directly to the engagement partner, resulting in increased involvement in the performance and review of audit procedures.
Professional scepticism	The design, nature, timing and extent of audit procedures performed in support of the audit opinion may change due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> increased emphasis on the exercise of professional judgement and professional scepticism an equal focus on both corroborative and contradictory information obtained and used in generating audit evidence increased guidance on management and auditor bias additional focus on the authenticity of information used as audit evidence a focus on response to inquiries that appear implausible
Definition of engagement team	The definition of engagement team when applied in a group audit, will include both the group auditors and the component auditors. The implications of this will become clearer when the auditing standard governing special considerations for group audits is finalised. In the interim, the expectation is that this will extend a number of requirements in the standard directed at the 'engagement team' to component auditors in addition to the group auditor. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consideration is also being given to the potential impacts on confidentiality and independence.
Fraud	The design, nature timing and extent of audit procedures performed in support of the audit opinion may change due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> clarification of the requirements relating to understanding fraud risk factors additional communications with management or those charged with governance
Documentation	The amendments to these auditing standards will also result in additional documentation requirements to demonstrate how these requirements have been addressed.

G. Management Letter of Representation

See separate item included within the Corporate Audit Committee Agenda.

H. Audit opinion

Our audit opinion is included below.

We anticipate we will provide the Pension Fund with an unmodified audit report

Independent auditor's report to the members of Bath & North East Somerset Council on the pension fund financial statements of Avon Pension Fund

Opinion on financial statements

We have audited the financial statements of Avon Pension Fund (the 'Pension Fund') administered by Bath & North East Somerset Council (the 'Authority') for the year ended 31 March 2023, which comprise the Fund Account, the Net Assets Statement and notes to the pension fund accounts, including a summary of significant accounting policies. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23.

In our opinion, the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the Pension Fund during the year ended 31 March 2023 and of the amount and disposition at that date of the fund's assets and liabilities;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law, as required by the Code of Audit Practice (2020) ("the Code of Audit Practice") approved by the Comptroller and Auditor General. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the 'Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements' section of our report. We are independent of the Authority in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the Pension Fund's financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Conclusions relating to going concern

We are responsible for concluding on the appropriateness of the Chief Financial Officer's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Pension Fund's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify the auditor's opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Pension Fund to cease to continue as a going concern.

In our evaluation of the Chief Financial Officer's conclusions, and in accordance with the expectation set out within the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23 that the Pension Fund's financial statements shall be prepared on a going concern basis, we considered the inherent risks associated with the continuation of services provided by the Pension Fund. In doing so we had regard to the guidance provided in Practice Note 10 Audit of financial statements and regularity of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (Revised 2022) on the application of ISA (UK) 570 Going Concern to public sector entities. We assessed the reasonableness of the basis of preparation used by the Authority in the Pension Fund financial statements and the disclosures in the Pension Fund financial statements over the going concern period.

In auditing the financial statements, we have concluded that the Chief Financial Officer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the Pension Fund financial statements is appropriate.

Based on the work we have performed, we have not identified any material uncertainties relating to events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt on the Pension Fund's ability to continue as a going concern for a period of at least twelve months from when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

Our responsibilities and the responsibilities of the Chief Financial Officer with respect to going concern are described in the relevant sections of this report.

H. Audit opinion

Our audit opinion is included below.

We anticipate we will provide the Pension Fund with an unmodified audit report

Other information

The other information comprises the information included in the Statement of Accounts, other than the Pension Fund's financial statements and our auditor's report thereon, and our auditor's report on the Authority's and group's financial statements. The Chief Financial Officer is responsible for the other information. Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in our report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

Our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the Pension Fund financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the financial statements themselves. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Opinion on other matters required by the Code of Audit Practice (2020) published by the National Audit Office on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General (the Code of Audit Practice)

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit of the Pension Fund's financial statements, the other information published together with the Pension Fund's financial statements in the Statement of Accounts for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the Pension Fund financial statements.

Matters on which we are required to report by exception

Under the Code of Audit Practice, we are required to report to you if:

- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make a written recommendation to the Authority under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or;

- we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit.

We have nothing to report in respect of the above matters in relation to the Pension Fund.

Responsibilities of the Authority and the Chief Financial Officer

As explained more fully in the Statement of Responsibilities for the accounts [set out on page x], the Authority is required to make arrangements for the proper administration of its financial affairs and to secure that one of its officers has the responsibility for the administration of those affairs. In this authority, that officer is the Chief Financial Officer. The Chief Financial Officer is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the Pension Fund's financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23, for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the Chief Financial Officer determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the Pension Fund's financial statements, the Chief Financial Officer is responsible for assessing the Pension Fund's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless they have been informed by the relevant national body of the intention to dissolve the Pension Fund without the transfer of its services to another public sector entity.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Pension Fund's financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists.

H. Audit opinion

Our audit opinion is included below.

We anticipate we will provide the Pension Fund with an unmodified audit report

Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements. Irregularities, including fraud, are instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations. The extent to which our procedures are capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud, is detailed below.

We obtained an understanding of the legal and regulatory frameworks that are applicable to the Pension Fund and determined that the most significant which are directly relevant to specific assertions in the financial statements are those related to the reporting frameworks (the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23, the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 the Public Service Pensions Act 2013, The Local government Pension Scheme Regulations 2013 and the Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2016.

We enquired of management and the Corporate Audit Committee, concerning the Authority's policies and procedures relating to:

- the identification, evaluation and compliance with laws and regulations;
- the detection and response to the risks of fraud; and
- the establishment of internal controls to mitigate risks related to fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations.

We enquired of management, internal audit and the Corporate Audit Committee, whether they were aware of any instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations or whether they had any knowledge of actual, suspected or alleged fraud.

We assessed the susceptibility of the Pension Fund's financial statements to material misstatement, including how fraud might occur, by evaluating management's incentives and opportunities for manipulation of the financial statements. This included the evaluation of the risk of management override of controls. We determined that the principal risks were in relation to the valuation of level 3 investments. Our audit procedures involved:

- evaluation of the design effectiveness of controls that management has in place to prevent and detect fraud,
- journal entry testing, with a focus on large and unusual entries,
- challenging assumptions and judgements made by management in its significant accounting estimates in respect of level 2 and level 3 Investments and IAS 26 pensions liability valuations, and

- assessing the extent of compliance with the relevant laws and regulations as part of our procedures on the related financial statement item.

These audit procedures were designed to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements were free from fraud or error. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement due to fraud is higher than the risk of not detecting one resulting from error and detecting irregularities that result from fraud is inherently more difficult than detecting those that result from error, as fraud may involve collusion, deliberate concealment, forgery or intentional misrepresentations. Also, the further removed non-compliance with laws and regulations is from events and transactions reflected in the financial statements, the less likely we would become aware of it.

We communicated relevant laws and regulations and potential fraud risks to all engagement team members, including the risk of management override. We remained alert to any indications of non-compliance with laws and regulations, including fraud, throughout the audit.

Our assessment of the appropriateness of the collective competence and capabilities of the engagement team included consideration of the engagement team's.

- understanding of, and practical experience with audit engagements of a similar nature and complexity through appropriate training and participation
- knowledge of the local government pensions sector
- understanding of the legal and regulatory requirements specific to the Pension Fund including:
 - the provisions of the applicable legislation
 - guidance issued by CIPFA/LASAAC and SOLACE
 - the applicable statutory provisions.

In assessing the potential risks of material misstatement, we obtained an understanding of:

- the Pension Fund's operations, including the nature of its income and expenditure and its services and of its objectives and strategies to understand the classes of transactions, account balances, expected financial statement disclosures and business risks that may result in risks of material misstatement.
- the Authority's control environment, including the policies and procedures implemented by the Authority to ensure compliance with the requirements of the financial reporting framework.

H. Audit opinion

Our audit opinion is included below.

We anticipate we will provide the Pension Fund with an unmodified audit report

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at: www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Use of our report

This report is made solely to the members of the Authority, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 [and as set out in paragraph 44 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited]. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Authority's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Signature:

Peter Barber, Key Audit Partner

for and on behalf of Grant Thornton UK LLP, Local Auditor

Bristol

Date:

