# Green Space Strategy for Bath and North East Somerset

### **Executive Summary**

### Introduction

Bath & North East Somerset Council has been reviewing green space provision across the district to ensure that the supply and quality matches the needs of the community. This has included finding out about people's use and expectations of different types of green areas, including parks and gardens, spaces for less formal activities, recreation grounds, natural areas (woodland, natural and semi-natural areas), allotments and children and young people's facilities.

Having completed a comprehensive assessment and audit of these types of green spaces, the Council has now drafted a Green Space Strategy for consultation with the local community and stakeholders.

### Why do we need a green space strategy?

There are external pressures for the authority to produce a strategy including:

- The Audit Commission Best Value Review Inspection Report on Leisure Time in Bath & North East Somerset
- The government guidance contained in Planning Policy Guidance Note 17 (PPG17)

There are also internal drivers within the authority including

- To ensure that the standards and levels of provision across the whole district are better understood and addressed
- To ensure that standards of quality are addressed across all green spaces

## How have we produced the strategy?

This has involved a number of distinct pieces of work:

- Consultation what are people's needs from green spaces
- Quantity audit how much space is there in the district?
- Quality audit what is the quality of the spaces?
- Mapping the distribution of spaces and how far they are from people
- Policy review what national and local guidance is there?

## What have we found?

### Consultation

- 95% of those who took part in our on-street survey had visited a green space in the last 12 months
- Those who live in Keynsham and Bath are more satisfied with green space provision than those who live in Norton Radstock
- The results of the consultation indicate that there can almost never be enough green space provision
- There was a very low perceived need for managed natural green space amongst Parish Councils

### <u>Quantity</u>

We have analysed all of the green space provision in a number of ways

- Firstly by type of space which we have classified as 'formal' (parks, gardens, open spaces and recreation grounds), 'natural' (woodland, natural, semi natural and access land) or allotments
- Secondly geographically comparing urban and rural provision at settlement, ward and parish levels. By urban we mean Bath, Keynsham and Norton Radstock, and by rural we mean all other parishes.

We have then combined these to look at how much of each type of space is located where. Using population data we have then been able to look at how much space there is per person across the district.

We found that

- in terms of formal spaces, Norton Radstock has only 2/3 of the amount of space when compared to Keynsham
- the rural parishes combined have around 3/4 the amount of formal space when compared to Bath, with 13 parishes having no provision at all
- Bath has the highest hectarage per 1000 population at 1.64 with Norton Radstock the lowest at 0.98
- the parish average is 1.18 ha / 1000 population with Corston parish having the highest at 4.31 ha / 1000 population, significantly higher than any other area

• in the rural parishes access to natural spaces tends to be via general access to the countryside

In terms of allotments we found that

- the area where the hectarage of allotments per 1000 population is greatest in Bath at 0.22 ha / 1000 population with the parish average only slightly below this figure at 0.20 ha / 1000 population.
- Norton Radstock is significantly lower than this at 0.06 ha / 1000 population and Keynsham having only 0.11 ha / 1000 population.

## Quality

We assessed the quality of almost 200 spaces across the district including formal and natural spaces and allotments. We used a method derived from the national quality standard, the Green Flag Award and advice from CABE Space, the government's advisor on architecture, urban design and public space.

We found that:

- Overall natural spaces scored higher than formal spaces and allotments scored below both
- Almost all sites fail to perform to their potential in terms of the educational benefits that can be derived from them
- Formal sites within Bath have the highest average quality at 53 with Norton Radstock scoring the lowest at 47. Parish and Keynsham formal sites score between the two at 52.
- Bath scores the highest average for allotment sites at 50 with Parish areas scoring the lowest at 44
- Keynsham scores the highest average for natural sites at 64 with Norton Radstock the lowest at 54.

### Distribution of Green Space

As a starting point we developed a hierarchy of urban green spaces based on their size, their significance and the facilities they should provide. The hierarchy is based on 4 levels:

- District (serving the urban settlement and their rural catchment)
- Neighbourhood
- Local
- Doorstep

We have given each level of the hierarchy a 'catchment' based on what we consider to be a reasonable walking distance.

We found that:

- There are relatively few parts of Bath and Keynsham that do not have formal green space within a reasonable walking distance
- In Norton Radstock there are larger parts of the area that do not have formal green space within a reasonable walking distance, exacerbated by the fact that there is no district level space
- In the rural parishes there is a mixture of provision, with many residents not having formal green space within a reasonable walking distance

For natural green spaces, whilst there were some small parts of the urban areas beyond a reasonable walking distance, overall the coverage was very good.

For allotments the picture was not so good with many parts of the district being beyond a reasonable walking distance of an allotment site.

### **Policy Review**

A policy review has been carried in order to understand the current national and local policy context. Key documents within each of these areas have been summarised and reviewed and their relevance to the Green Space Strategy discussed both in terms of planning and service delivery aspects.

National policy and guidance on the production of green space strategies has been considered and has informed the development of the strategy. Other local policy documents have been reviewed which set out the local planning context, formal designations, such as Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, and other relevant constraints and opportunities.

### What have we done with the information / assessments?

We have now brought together the consultation and mapping work to develop proposed local standards for the three different types of green space. The standards are set out below and focus on:

• Quantity of green space

Type of Green Space	Standard (m <sup>2</sup> per person)
Formal	15
Natural* <sup>1</sup>	15
Allotments	3

## Notes on table

\*1 In the rural parishes a standard for natural provision was not developed as they have access to natural spaces via the countryside

• Distribution and quality

As referred to earlier we have produced a hierarchy of provision and some of the key factors are set out in the table below. Please see the notes below the table to see how the hierarchy will be applied to the rural parishes.

Hierarchy level	Walking distance (m)	Walking time (minutes)	Size (hectares)	Facilities
Doorstep	400	5	>0.1	N/A
Local	600	7.5	>1.0	Formal equipped play
Neighbourhood	1000	12.5	>2.0	Formal play and youth provision
District	N/A* <sup>1</sup>	N/A* <sup>1</sup>	>10.0	As above plus a venue for major outdoor events

Formal Green Spaces

## Notes on table

\*1 District level sites serve the urban settlement and their rural catchment

In the rural parishes it is often not possible to have the full range of site levels or for the sites to be the minimum sizes indicated. However, as parish sites tend to have either a neighbourhood function (i.e. serving the whole parish and sometimes neighbouring parishes with little or no provision) or a local function (i.e. serving a local area of a larger parish) these are the catchments that will be applied as appropriate to each site.

### Natural Green Spaces

Hierarchy level	Walking distance (m)	Walking time (minutes)	Size (hectares)	Features
Neighbourhood	1000	12.5	>2.0	Significant nature interest and opportunities for volunteer involvement)

District	N/A* <sup>1</sup>	N/A	>10.0	As above plus
			(and most	significant
			significant	opportunities for
			natural space	educational
			in each urban	activities
			area)	

These standards have already been used to inform the amount of green space provided in relation to new housing developments and will continue to do so in the future. They will also be used to

- Identify areas of shortfall in existing provision that may not be addressed by development and will need to be resourced in different ways
- Help support bids for external funding

### **Recommendations and Action Plan**

The green space strategy has analysed existing green space provision, the community's views on this and has set out proposed standards for the future. The strategy also sets out recommendations and an action plan for the delivery of these new standards. The actions come under 5 main headings as follows:

- 1. Strategic Management issues of a strategic nature with implications beyond this Council's own green spaces
- 2. Service Management issues relating specifically to the management of this Council's own green space network
- 3. Site Management issues relating to the management of individual sites
- 4. Community Issues issues with a particular focus on community interest
- 5. Resources and Opportunities issues around funding and other potential resources