Bath & North East Somerset Council		
MEETING:	Corporate Audit Committee	
MEETING DATE:	8 th December 2015	AGENDA ITEM NUMBER
TITLE:	Draft Audit Plan Methodology	
WARD:	ALL	
AN OPEN PUBLIC ITEM		
List of attachments to this report: None		

1 THE ISSUE

1.1 This report updates the Audit Committee on a revised methodology to create the Internal Audit Plan which is planned to be introduced over the next 12 months is asked to consider its work plan for the coming 12 months.

2 **RECOMMENDATION**

2.1 The Corporate Audit Committee is asked to The Audit Committee is asked to comment on the proposed methodology

3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 There are no direct financial implications relevant to this report.

4 THE REPORT

4.1 BACKGROUND - REASONABLE ASSURANCE MODEL

- 4.2 As part of reviewing best practice and updating our own processes the service has been reviewing its own audit plan methodology in conjunction with the approach adopted at B&NES and is consulting on a new process moving forward.
- 4.3 The existing process utilises a risk assessment approach over the whole audit universe to generate an audit plan each year. This process assumed that there were sufficient resources to cover the whole audit universe over a 5 year period, that the audit universe was always up to date and that risks were broadly the same at a strategic level. It was effectively therefore a 'bottom-up' approach that relied heavily on judgement.
- 4.4 The new process is based on the fundamental requirement that the audit plan proposed will deliver sufficient work to enable the Head of Audit to independently

assess the internal control framework and give a reasonable assurance opinion at the end of each year. In a stable environment where resources were relatively fixed this has been possible by using traditional methods of assessing the audit universe.

- 4.5 However it is considered that this position is no longer viable as audit resources have been reduced, in Bath & North East Somerset's case by 30% in the last five years and the level of risk and operating models in the Council have changed significantly over the last five years.
- 4.6 In recognition of this regional work has taken place to look at alternative models which could be adopted or created to be able to justify a sustainable audit service and audit plan.
- 4.7 The outline of the methodology proposed has been led and developed by Audit West in the South West and nearly all Councils have signed up in principle to adopt the high level approach which is described briefly as follows –

4.8 Reasonable Assurance Model – Principles

- Plan should be a strategic top down assessment which is broad based, not just Finance
- Levels of assurance should be compared to levels of risk
- Resources should be focussed first on areas where assurance is low and risk is high
- Plan should be dynamic and not fixed, less need for an Audit Universe
- Risk Assessment should be simplified around a small number of key factors
- Plan should lead to sufficient reviews to reach a 'reasonable assurance' opinion
- 4.9 The plan will then be developed in three key stages as detailed below
 - 1) High Level Assessment of Reasonable Assurance Model
 - 2) Detailed Risk Assessment of auditable areas
 - 3) Consultation & Approval

4.10 Stage 1 – Risk Assessment – Reasonable Assurance Model

- 4.11 Use Model to assess level of Assurance in place over eight themes (and any new areas)
- 4.12 Each Theme has a set of overview questions which assess the level of assurance and the level of risk for each theme.
- 4.13 Purpose is to identify what assurance there is that sound strategies, policies and procedures are in place to deliver a healthy organisation that we can rely on or that enables us to rely on assurance provided by a third party.
- 4.14 The results should enable audit resources to be prioritised on areas where assurance is low and risk is high and also identify gaps or areas of high risk that we need to include in our Audit Plan. This therefore creates a new Audit Universe each year.

Reasonable Assurance Model – 8 Themes



4.15 Examples of Areas being assessed for each Theme are as follows

Theme 1 – Corporate Governance

- Overall Governance Framework
- Ethical Framework
- Counter-Fraud Arrangements
- Vision & High Level Priorities
- Constitution, Structure & Decision Making
- Codes of Conduct
- Statutory Policy & Budget Framework

Theme 2 – Financial Management

- Medium Term Finance & Resource Planning
- Annual Budget Setting
- Financial Performance Revenue & Capital
- Financial Performance VFM
- Financial Resilience Reserves
- Key Financial Management Systems
- Financial Regulations & Rules

Theme 3 – Risk Management

- Risk Management Strategy & Framework
- Risk Maturity & Appetite
- Decision Making
- Corporate/Strategic Risks
- Major Project Risks
- Transformation Risks
- HR Risks
- Fraud Risks
- Safety Risks

Theme 4 – Performance Management

- Corporate Plan & Corporate Performance
- Service Planning & Service Performance
- Internal & External Benchmarking
- Strategic Performance Reviews & Business Intelligence
- Target Setting & Reporting
- Customer Feedback & Complaints
- Integrated Reporting
- Transparency

Theme 5 – Procurement & Commissioning

- Procurement & Commissioning Framework
- Delivery/Category Plans
- Contract Standing Orders & Rules
- Contact & Commercial Management
- Governance & Gateways
- Knowledge & Skills
- Benefits Realisation & Savings

Theme 6 – Programme & Project Management

- Transformational Change Programmes
- Links to Corporate Vision & Objectives
- Major Project Governance
- Change Control
- Project Reporting
- Financial & Risk Management
- Benefit Realisation

Theme 7 – Information Management

- Information Management & Technology Strategy
- Standards & Security Requirements
- Information Security
- Information Compliance
- Data Quality, Classification & Integrity
- Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery
- Transparency

Theme 8 – Asset Management

- Asset Management Strategy (& Plans)
- Safeguarding & Security
- Asset Utilisation (& Realisation)
- Workforce Planning
- HR Framework
- Skills & Training Development Programme
- Organisational Development & Change

4.16 Stage 2 – Risk Assessment – Audit Plan

Using the results of -

- a) Reasonable Assurance Model Work
- b) Views & Requests of Council Senior Management
- c) Views & Requests of Audit West Senior Management

Carry out Risk Assessment Process of Audit Areas using following Factors -

MATERIALITY INHERENT RISK AUDIT HISTORY

Each area would have a simple High/Medium/Low Risk Assessment as follows

- Materiality

Budget (Income + Expenditure + Savings in Medium Term Financial Plan)

High Risk > £1M Medium Risk £250K - £1M Low Risk <£250K

Or Direct Linkage to -

Achievement of a Corporate Priority and/or Mitigation of a Corporate Risk

- Inherent Risk

Risk Management Judgement – Factors involved for H/M/L

Inherent Operational Risk – I.E. Cash, Stocks, dependency on third party Inherent Reputational Risk – I.E. Level of Damage to Council Inherent Technical Risk – I.E. Technical reliance/IT/Systems led Inherent People Risk – I.E. Lack of Separation of duties or known staffing issues

- Audit History

Audit Opinion –

High Risk – Level 1 or Level 2 Opinion at Last Audit Medium – Level 3 Opinion or no previous audit in last 3 years Low – Level 4 or Level 5 Opinion at Last Audit

The results of this risk assessment process would generate a long list of areas which could then be refined into a suitable audit plan which could be matched to available resources and then prepared for consultation.

4.17 Stage 3 – Consultation & Approval – Audit Plan

- 1) Consultation with S151 Officer
- 2) Consultation with Corporate Management Team
- 3) Consultation with Audit Committee

Introducing this exercise and carrying it out in full is substantial and it is therefore proposed that it will be introduced in stages over the next 12 months and used in full for the 2017/18 audit plan. Key areas will be trialled in preparing the audit plan for 2016/17 and it is intended that as a minimum the fundamental principles will be applied of the new methodology.

4.18 Views of the Committee are therefore sought to help develop and refine the methodology.

5 RISK MANAGEMENT

5.1 A risk assessment related to the issue and recommendations has been undertaken, in compliance with the Council's decision making risk management guidance. There are no significant risks identified relevant to this report.

6 EQUALITIES

6.1 A proportionate equalities impact assessment has been carried out in relation to this report. There are no significant issues to report to the Committee.

7 CONSULTATION

7.1 The report has been shared with the S151 Officer.

Contact person	Jeff Wring (01225 477323)	
Background papers		
Please contact the report author if you need to access this report in an alternative format		