West of England Joint Waste Core Strategy Consultation Statement

1. Introduction

1.1 The West of England Authorities have undertaken several stages of consultation and ongoing public engagement that has informed the preparation of the Joint Waste Core Strategy Submission document and the formulation of policy. This consultation statement gives an overview of this process. The consultation and ongoing engagement activity is described at Appendix 1.

2. Who was invited to be involved in plan preparation.

- 2.1 A West of England circulation list of all consultees and stakeholders was compiled from the lists used by individual Authorities in accordance with their Adopted Statements of Community Involvement. The stakeholders included members of the public, Town and Parish councils, community groups, voluntary groups, recycling organisations, environmental groups, the development industry and statutory stakeholders. This totalled some 2,400 email and postal addresses. The list was continually updated with any contact details supplied at workshops and events, representations to consultation or any other form of correspondence.
- 2.2 Letters or emails were sent to each contact on the list at each stage of consultation or ongoing engagement listed at Appendix 1. Each stage of consultation was clearly signposted on the West of England Partnership website and advertised in local press that covered the whole plan area.
- 2.3 Early frontloading discussions took place with GOSW, the Environment Agency and PINS. All other statutory consultees were kept informed and were invited to Stakeholder events.

3. How responses to the consultation informed policy.

3.1 Issues raised at each stage of consultation are summarised in the Progress Update, Preferred Options, and Issues and Options consultation reports respectively. The key issues raised and how they have informed the development of the JWCS Submission document are listed below:

3.2 Scope of the JWCS

The JWCS is technology neutral, covers all waste streams and seeks to provide a positive policy framework to ensure the waste infrastructure required in the West of England is delivered.

3.3 Waste Minimisation

Representations sought further emphasis to be placed upon waste prevention and for this to be presented as a policy in the JWCS. Policy 1- Waste Prevention has addressed this matter and the UAs are committed to continuing to work with Partner organisations to ensure that waste is pushed up the waste hierarchy. This is a fundamental principle embedded in the strategic vision and aims of the JWCS.

3.4 Spatial Strategy for Residual waste treatment facilities

The spatial strategy relates to the provision of residual waste treatment facilities. The approach in the JWCS has allocated specific sites and strategic areas to meet the indicative capacity requirements for catchment areas within the sub-region. All sites included in the JWCS were put forward and assessed at the plan making level with consideration of: Habitat Regulation Assessment, Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and representations to consultation. Any proposal will be subject to any key planning considerations appended to the JWCS, and development management policy. Likewise the decision not to include sites has been based upon the supporting evidence base and representations to the consultation and ongoing public engagement.

3.5 Policy Framework for non-residual sites.

Non-residual waste facilities will be dealt with through criteria based policy, and where appropriate Development management policies.

3.6 Site specific impacts and considerations

Some concern was expressed regarding health or amenity impacts of waste facilities at some proposed locations. These are most appropriately dealt with at the planning application stage and Development management policies in the JWCS will assist the decision making process.

3.6.1 However, following initial consultation on the sites that were recommended to be included, further work was carried out and all sites were re-evaluated to ensure up to date information was considered. In some instances this resulted in changes to recommendations for the allocation of sites, these recommendations were published in the revised detailed site assessment as part of the Progress update and further consulted upon.

3.7 Approach to Landfill

The evidence base concluded that even with challenging targets to divert waste from landfill, there may still be a requirement for the disposal of waste at landfill. Furthermore after consultation with neighbouring authorities the West of England authorities acknowledge that the current practice of sending waste for disposal in landfill outside of the sub-region is not a sustainable long-term solution. Therefore the policy approach to landfill is to consider applications where it can be demonstrated that the waste cannot practicably be reused, recycled or processed for recovery along with other more specific considerations.

3.7.1 The development industry supported this approach and suggested that there may be potential sites in the West of England that could be delivered through this approach if required.

3.8 Phasing and deliverability of sites

The deliverability of sites is demonstrated in the JWCS by consideration at the site assessment stage of their suitability, availability and any land ownership issues. Delivery of the facilities is reliant upon the development industry. Considerable effort has been made on both parties to engage in the process of preparing the JWCS and the involvement of the industry has informed the development of policy. Representations from the industry evidence this engagement and their intentions for sites they own or represent.

3.9 Approach to implementation and monitoring

It is acknowledged that planning applications for residual facilities may exceed the capacity required for residual waste treatment in some catchment areas within the sub-region. To ensure flexibility and subsequent deliverability of the spatial strategy, it is proposed that this issue is dealt with by a plan, monitor, manage approach. Robust monitoring will record capacity of applications and capacity that is actually delivered and operational. This will ensure that future applications can be considered against the requirement to conform with the Spatial Strategy and should indicate when or if a review of the capacity required in the JWCS is necessary.

4. How responses to the consultation shaped the spatial strategy.

- 4.1 The provision of residual waste facilities is addressed in the spatial strategy of the JWCS. The proposed locations for these facilities are suggested at the most sustainable locations with consideration of where waste arises, population and growth, flood risk, habitats regulation assessment and the flexibility and economies of scale. All other non-residual facilities are dealt with through criteria based policy.
- 4.2 Both the Issues and Options and the Preferred Options consultation received substantial representations that opposed inflexible large scale facilities, and supported local responsibility for waste and a preference for smaller facilities. The development industry were very clear in their requirements for the JWCS- they sought flexibility, economies of scale, and issues of deliverability to be considered.
- 4.3 The outcomes of consultation together with further technical work on the evidence base led to the Preferred option being recommended to Councillors as the most sustainable, deliverable and flexible spatial option to deliver the residual waste facilities required in the West of England.

5. Decision making process

- 5.1 Throughout the preparation of the JWCS, process and update reports were presented to the Planning, Housing and Communities Board, Executive Member briefings and the Joint Scrutiny Committee of the West of England Partnership (listed at Table 1).
- 5.2 In addition to this workshops were held to inform Councillors of the outcomes of consultation and the further work on the evidence base. Consideration of the evidence base and consultation responses informed Council decisions on; the development of JWCS policies and; which of the potential sites identified for residual waste treatment facilities would be allocated in the JWCS submission document.
- 5.3 The submission document will be considered at Cabinet and Full council meetings of the individual authorities throughout October and November 2009.

Table 1 Decision making process

	n making process.
June-July 2006	Phase 1 Consultation: Awareness raising exercise
	Undertaken in parallel with consultation on the Joint Waste Management
	Strategy
Jan-March	Issues & Options Consultation (Phase 2)
2007	Undertaken in parallel with consultation on the Joint Waste Management
	Strategy
12 th March	West of England Waste Management and Planning Strategy Member
2008	Board
2000	Process on preparation of the Preferred Options Document noted.
17 th June 2008	
17 June 2006	West of England Waste Management and Planning Strategy Member
	Board
4 =th A	Consideration of Preferred Options Document and Consultation plan
15 th August	Joint Scrutiny Committee
2008	Consideration of site strategy options (ERM presentation)
5 th September	Joint Scrutiny Committee
2008	Preferred Options document and consultation plan considered.
	,
11 th September	Planning & Housing Executive Member Briefing
2008	Preferred Options document and consultation plan considered.
	·
10 th October	Joint Scrutiny Committee
2008	Officer responses to the views of Joint Scrutiny and Scrutiny committees of
	the constituent authorities on the draft pre-consultation Preferred Options
	Document.
22 nd January	Planning Housing & Communities Board
2009	Process report on JWCS noted.
24 th April 2009	Planning Housing & Communities Board
	Process report on JWCS noted
18 th June 2009	Leaders Briefing
	Process report on JWCS noted.
26 th June	Partnership Board
2009	Process report on JWCS noted.
16 th July	Planning Housing & Communities Board
2009	Process report on JWCS noted. Members views sought on the development
2003	
16 th July	of Scope and Policy document. Councillors Workshop
2009	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
2009	Presentation on the outcomes of consultation (subject to further views on
	progress update) and discussion on the development of the spatial strategy
th o	and policies to inform the preparation of the JWCS submission document.
4 th September	Joint Scrutiny Committee
2009	Consider the outcome and response to the Public consultations and the
th -	proposed submission document.
7 th September	Planning Housing & Communities Board
2009	Consider and give their views on the outcome and response to the Public
	consultations and the proposed submission document.
Mid Sept	Councillor Workshops
2009	Consider and give their views on the submission document.
11 th Sept	Partnership Board
2009	Consider the outcome and response to the Public consultations and endorse
	the proposed submission document.
	ן נווס אוסאספע פעטוווופפוטוו עסטעווופווג.

Appendix 1: Stages of consultation and ongoing public engagement.

Action/Activity	Outcomes	Documentation
Issues and Options Consultation	Views were received from some 1,000 local people and	Issues &
January –March 2007	organisations.	Options
Alternative spatial options were put forward for		Consultation
consideration by the statutory stakeholders, the	Key issues raised by the community included:	Report 2007
Public and other interested parties.	-Commitment to three 'R's Reduce, re-use, recycle.	
This was undertaken in accordance with consultation	-Local responsibility for waste	
processes as set out in individual UA Statements of	-Opposition for large facilities	
Community Involvement (SCI).	-Flexibility-preference for smaller facilities across a range of	
	sites	
	-Reduction in the transportation of waste to be treated	
	Key issues raised by the development industry:	
	-support options that provide flexibility and economies of	
	scale.	
Preferred Options Consultation	Views were considered from some:	Preferred
January – March 2009	-210 responses to the online consultation	Options
Three spatial options for strategic residual facilities	-165 attendees of drop in sessions and workshop events.	consultation
were put forward for consideration by the statutory		report June
stakeholders, the Public and other interested parties	Representations to the preferred options consultation sought	2009.
in accordance with SCIs. Consultations included:	clarification on:	
-Preferred Options document, short leaflet &	-scope of the JWCS;	Preferred
response form sent to 2,400 stakeholders &	-waste streams to be covered;	Options
consultees.	-further work on the evidence base;	schedules of
-Consultation Website	-site assessments.	representations
-Press advertisements in local press	The Progress Undete was produced in response to these	June 2009.
-12 drop in sessions at local centres across the sub-	The Progress Update was produced in response to these representations and the full stakeholder and consultee list	
regionIndividual Authority consultation with Parish/Town	were given advanced notification on May 15 th 2009 that the	
Councils & at Neighbourhood Partnership meetings.	progress update would be available on 5 th June 2009.	
Stakeholder Workshop 6 th February 2009.	Adverse weather conditions affected attendance. However,	Workshop
A Stakeholder workshop was held in parallel with the	there were focused discussions, and break out sessions	Report June
consultation period and brought together	which were written up in a workshop report and informed the	2009.
stakeholders with in depth specialist knowledge.	development of the JWCS.	
Stationologis with in depth specialist knowledge.	development of the avvoc.	

MayJuly 2009 Discussions with neighbouring authorities, Environment Agency, GOSW and the Planning Inspectorate.	Discussions informed policy direction issues including: The current practice of sending waste for disposal in landfill outside of the sub-region is not a sustainable long-term solution. The approach of allocating strategic sites and broad locations for residual waste treatment. The approach of criteria based policy for non-residual or non-strategic waste facilities Development management policies Phasing and deliverability of sites Approach to implementation and monitoring	-Feasibility Study -potential for exporting non-hazardous waste to landfill -PINS advice note from frontloading visits 7 th and 16 th July.
Progress Update 5 th June-31 st July 2009 (extended to 10 th august to incorporate further views on updated Scope & Policy doc)	Some 38 representations were received to the progress update.	Progress Update consultation
All stakeholders and consultees were notified of further technical work undertaken to support the evidence base and were given an opportunity to	Some 27 delegates attended the industry workshop on July 8 th 2009.	report August 2009.
provide further views on: -Statement on Scope of the Joint Waste Core Strategy -Sustainability Appraisal (interim comment) -Feasibility Study -potential for exporting non- hazardous waste to landfill	Key issues raised by the community included: -site specific concerns relating to health and amenity impacts of potential facilities on sites recommended for inclusion in the JWCS -looking for innovative solutions to treat waste.	Progress Update schedules of representations August 2009.
-An Assessment of the current future waste management capacity needs -Revised Detailed Sites Assessment-(of sites for the location of facilities to treat residual waste) -Habitats Regulation Assessment of the Joint Waste Core Strategy -Strategic Flood Risk Assessment of the potential locations for waste facilities	Key issues raised by the development industry: -whether sites or broad locations will be identified in the JWCSissue of distribution of sites to deliver spatial strategy, concern of the potential of several site allocations at Avonmouthrole of potential urban extensions -merits of particular technologies, and potential benefits, for example Combined Heat and Power.	
The Progress Update was published on the West of England Partnership website and advertised in the local press.		

Development industry Workshop on 8 th July 2009.	Some 27 delegates attended the event and were provided	Workshop
A Workshop was held in parallel to the Progress	with the opportunity to discuss the further work on the	Report August
Update and provided the opportunity for further	evidence base to support the JWCS and emerging policy	2009.
continued engagement with the development	direction of the JWCS.	
industry.	Industry and stakeholder views informed the preparation of	
·	the JWCS submission document.	
Scope & Policy Document v2 –	Views received included suggested policy wordings, or	Progress
22 nd July-10 th August 2009	issues to consider which have informed the further	Update
Following discussion with Councillors at a workshop	development of polices in the JWCS submission document.	schedules of
on 16 th July, the scope and policy document,		representations
published as part of the Progress update, was further		August 2009.
refined and policies developed. All stakeholders and		
consultees were notified of the release date of the		
revised document one week prior to its availability.		
All stakeholders and consultees were notified when		
the Scope and Policy Document v2 was available on		
the website and sent an electronic or paper copy, and		
were given a further opportunity to contribute views.		
Additional sites highlighted for further	The draft Submission document presented for consideration	Site
assessment.	at the Joint Scrutiny Committee on 4tbh September,	Assessment
14 th August-1 st October 2009.	included the consultants recommendations on these	Report ERM
As a result of the Progress Update two sites were	additional sites, with Warne Rd included in the proposed list	August 2009.
highlighted to require further assessment –Warne Rd	of sites. This is subject to further views of Councillors at	
in Weston-Super-Mare and Cabot Park in	meetings throughout September- November and any further	
Avonmouth.	views of Stakeholders and consultees.	
Stakeholders and Consultees were notified that a site		
assessment report on these sites was available for		
further comment.		

S:\WEP\Waste DPD\Submission Document\Documents for Councils and Cabinets in Sept 2009\Appendix C Consultation statement.doc