#### **APPENDIX 8**

# Additions to the 2009/10 Capital Programme

### Change to Budget

	Funding Source	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	1013/14	
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Project Additions							
Items in italics in Feb 09 Budget Report							
Play Area Equipment	Service supported borrowing	150	150	150			450
Spring Water Strategy	Service supported borrowing	82	82	82			246
Harnessing Technology	Grant	598	598				1,196
Primary Capital Programme - Bathford	Grant	980					980
Primary Capital Programme - Weston All Saints Primary	Grant	771	2,615	136			3,522
Primary Capital Programme - Midsomer Norton Primary	Grant	380	1,340	120			1,840
Primary Capital Programme - Batheaston	Grant	435	1,165	150			1,750
Primary Capital Programme - contingency	Grant	286					286
Garden Waste Tipping Bays	Defra Waste Efficiency Grant	45					45
Public Realm - Wayfinding	Capital Receipts/s106/CIVITAS Grant	784	1,500	22			2,306
CIVITAS	Grant	744	600	338	109		1,791
Writhlington - Applied Learning Centre	Grant	550	2,950				3,500
ANPR Bus Lane Enforcement Upgrade	Service supported borrowing	340					340
Office Rationalisation - Children's Services	Service supported/Unsupported borrowing	589					589
Mental Health Supported Accommodation	Grant	181					181
Total		6,915	11,000	998	0	0	19,022

# **Changes in Funding**

	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	2012/13 £'000	1013/14 £'000	£'000
Project Additions						
Items in italics in Feb 09 Budget Report						
Grant	5,262	9,037	980	109		15,388
Service Supported Borrowing	848	598				1,446
Unsupported Borrowing	313					313
Capital Receipts	328	1,365	18			1,711
s106	164					164
Total	6,915	11,000	998	109	0	19,022

### Note:

As stated in the Feb 09 budget report:

'As an outcome from the greater scrutiny given to the capital programme, a number of items in 2009/10 and future years are shown in `italics'. The process grades schemes on a Red/Amber/Green basis, with `Reds' not being included, and the `Ambers' shown in `italics'. Where an item is in italics it requires further officer and/or member scrutiny and decision making before it is included. The numbers are therefore shown here on an indicative basis, and as an aid to planning, and the resolutions recognise the distinction between what is being authorised at this stage, and where future decisions are required.

Broadly, there are two cases. Firstly where there is a funding stream / programme confirmed and identified, but where further detailed work is required on how it should be spent and hence a further (e.g. single member) decision required. This applies for example, to schemes that will be financed as part of the `Primary Capital Programme', Civitas, or the Local Transport Plan programme. Secondly there are potential new projects where the proposal needs further work and this needs to be signed off through the Capital Review process, and this includes confirming the sources of funding, and may also potentially require future member decision.'

The items above have all been through the appropriate scrutiny and are ready for formal decision.