Summary of Responses to New LCTS Scheme Public Consultation (Total 74)	
Response Area	Summary
Principles	Vast majority placed most importance on protecting those on the lowest incomes and extra funding for Welfare Support
	Less importance placed on everyone paying some Council Tax and aligning scheme with Universal Credits
Administration	68% agree with a cost neutral scheme (19% Don't know)
	42% agree with a simpler scheme (27% Don't know)
Policy content	93% agree on most support for those on low incomes (3% Don't know)
	80% agree with extra funding for Welfare Support provision (7% Don't know)
	• 53% agree moving to an income banded scheme (17% Don't know)
	35% agree with the proposed income band levels (28% Don't know)
	44% agree with increase in bands for multi-person households and families (27% Don't know)
	39% agree with a maximum level of support for working age (11% Don't know)
	50% agree with the proposed maximum level of support (8% Don't know)
Income and capital	39% agree with including UC housing cost element as income (16% Don't know)
	81% agree with disregarding DLA and PIP as income (4% Don't know)
	51% agree with a minimum income level for self-employed (25% Don't know)
	49% agree with reducing the savings limit to £6,000 (4% Don't know)
Respondents	92% are residents of B&NES
	74% are current LCTS recipients; 63% on other benefits
	28% are working, 44% are permanently sick or have a disability
	81% are between 35 and 64 years old
	60% have a disability or a member of the household has a disability

Summary of Written Comments	
Principles	A written response from CAB Director Gill Whitehead praised the proposed scheme specifically increased help for the lowest incomes and increased funding to Welfare Support, valuing the excellent service they provide
	A thread in the written comments is that respondents are disagreeing that everyone must pay something; including those with disabilities
	There is also concern that having to seek help from Welfare Support is demeaning
	There is a comment suggesting that self-employed people may not always be capable of earning the 'living wage'
	There is also a comment suggesting that households with multiple children should have benefits capped lower than the current rate
Funding	The main thread is that other funding should come from the richest people
	Increased funding from tourism
	Charges for Libraries
	Reduction in major developments
	Other income streams such as parking fines
Policy content	A few suggestions of lowest band starting at £199
	Mainly comments on hardship caused by band percentages
	Some suggesting a return to 100% as the maximum discount

