

<b>Bath &amp; North East Somerset Council</b>		
MEETING:	<b>LOCAL PENSION BOARD - AVON PENSION FUND</b>	
MEETING DATE:	<b>5<sup>th</sup> November 2015</b>	<b>AGENDA ITEM NUMBER</b>
TITLE:	<b>LGPS Update – Latest Developments</b>	
WARD:	ALL	
<b>AN OPEN PUBLIC ITEM</b>		
List of attachments to this report:		
Nil		

## **1 THE ISSUE**

- 1.1 This report summarises key developments within the Local Government Pension Fund sector, the most significant of these is the proposal for pooling of investments
- 1.2 Prior to the 2015 General Election the Government had been considering the structure of the Local Government Pension Scheme and looking at options for pooling investments. This included a “Call for Evidence”, and then in May 2014 the Government issued a consultation document entitled “Local Government Pension Scheme: Opportunities for collaboration, cost savings and efficiencies.” The Avon Pension Fund was one of many consultees who responded to the consultation, but no response was issued by the Government before the election.
- 1.3 However, the new Government has now returned to the agenda and this report sets out the latest Government proposals and sets out a way forward for the Avon Pension Fund.

## **2 RECOMMENDATION**

### **That the Board**

- 2.1 Notes the report and latest developments and considers the issues in light of their own work plan.

## **3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 3.1 There are no direct implications related to the Pension Board in connection with this report.
- 3.2 With regard to the Pension Fund there will be costs associated with setting up a pooled arrangement. These are a matter for the Pension Fund and will be costed once there is a decision as to the pooling arrangements to be established.

## 4 REPORT

- 4.1 This report details the key national developments regarding the future of Local Government Pension Funds and full detail on the proposed pooling arrangements are detailed below. The implications in this area are highly significant and could lead to major changes to future governance structures with regard to Local Government Pension Funds.
- 4.2 Following these specific announcements the Chancellor made further general statements regarding the setting up of a number of British Wealth Funds connected to infrastructure investment during the party conference season but the details surrounding these are currently unclear.
- 4.3 The Head of Business Finance and Pensions will also provide a verbal update at the meeting on this and other consultation exercises affecting the industry most notably from the Pension Regulator.

### 4.4 GOVERNMENT POLICY – POOLING

- 4.5 The Government announced its intentions with regard to potential pooling of investments in the details of its July budget statement. The budget documents set out the following policy:

*Local Government Pension Scheme pooled investments – The government will work with Local Government Pension Scheme administering authorities to ensure that they pool investments to significantly reduce costs, while maintaining overall investment performance. The government will invite local authorities to come forward with their own proposals to meet common criteria for delivering savings. A consultation to be published later this year will set out those detailed criteria as well as backstop legislation which will ensure that those administering authorities that do not come forward with sufficiently ambitious proposals are required to pool investments.*

- 4.6 Further briefings have provided more information on what is proposed. There will be no formal consultation on any form of structure. The Government are looking for the LGPS community to bring forward their own proposals on setting up pooling arrangements, but the proposals must be ambitious. A series of criteria will be outlined, which are likely to focus on size, cost (i.e. potential savings) and governance. They will be looking for proposals to come forward in the early Autumn, and for formal agreement of the proposals to happen in January. It appears that the previous suggestion that Funds will be forced into passive management of their assets will not be pursued.
- 4.7 There will be significant work required to set up the new arrangements (i.e. a collective investment vehicle or CIV) and the expectation is that these should be in place within three years. Not all of each individual Fund's investments will need to be within the CIV at the start, as many funds will have illiquid investments that they are committed to for a longer period, but the majority of assets should be transferred to the CIV in a managed process over a reasonable timeframe once the vehicle is established. Each individual fund would retain control of strategy and asset allocation decisions, but would need to use the managers employed by the CIV.
- 4.8 The formal consultation is likely to be around changing the investment regulations, which is mostly about removing any barriers to pooling which may be inferred from the current regulations, and the "backstop" legislation. The backstop legislation will simply give the Secretary of State the power to instruct an LGPS Fund to invest through a particular pooled investment vehicle if the

fund has not made sufficient progress itself, i.e. if a fund does not voluntarily pool its assets it can be forced to do so.

## **5 THE WAY AHEAD**

- 5.1 There are a variety of ways in which the pooling arrangements could be set up. Regional CIVs are not necessarily the only option but are one way it could go. Informal discussions have been held with neighbouring councils to consider the possibility of setting up a South West Regional CIV or alternative pooled arrangement. This would comprise Avon, Cornwall, Devon, Dorset, Gloucestershire, Somerset, Wiltshire and the Environment Agency.
- 5.2 In terms of size (total assets of around £19-20 billion) we would be at the bottom end of the Government's expectations, but the intention is that we would be open to other funds joining us. The key issue may be around how this fits in with what the LGPS funds in other regions propose in taking forward the agenda. The Local Government Association will play a role in trying to bring together a co-ordinated solution.
- 5.3 The South West LGPS pension funds have a good record of working together. Officer meetings are held on a regular basis to share best practice, and a number of South West LGPS collaborative frameworks have been set up, for example in relation to actuarial and investment consultancy services and legal services. These pre-dated the national frameworks that have been set up more recently. The region has many shared characteristics, such as demography, and would not be dominated by a large metropolitan authority. The South West would therefore be a good fit in terms of community of interest and shared objectives. A South West pooling arrangement would be a genuine partnership with clear accountability to the local funds. The alternative would be to invest in a more remote asset pooling arrangement that could be mandated by the Government.
- 5.4 Cost savings and governance will be key criteria in whether the South West proposal would be acceptable. This will involve looking at the forecast savings that we could make though the setting up of the CIV, and also how the structure would be organised. The London boroughs have been looking for some time at setting up an "Authorised Contractual Scheme" which is in effect a tax efficient separate corporate entity. This would be one option, although there are significant costs in setting up such a body. A more simple alternative might be a joint committee with a lead authority running the CIV. Some work has already been done on these issues, but more analysis will be needed to firm up on proposals to be made to the Government.
- 5.5 The Committee will need to be aware with that these changes are likely to involve significant changes in the investment of the fund, with significantly less directly involvement in selection of managers, and potentially some compromise with the detailed specification of mandates. However, with this approach the Fund will have a direct participation in the operation of the CIV, while other options will probably leave the Fund on the margins. As well as offering costs savings, a well-structured pooled vehicle could offer the opportunity to share expertise and knowledge.

### **Conclusion**

- 5.6 The government has signalled its clear intention that LGPS investment assets should be pooled, and that action will be taken should local funds fail to engage sufficiently with the agenda. It is therefore proposed that the Avon Pension Fund should join with neighbouring funds in the South West to actively explore options

to set up a regional collective investment vehicle, and that officers should continue to collaborate on proposals.

- 5.7 This is the first stage of an extensive process. There will be chance to review detailed proposals before significant investments are made in the new structure, and scope for further review before the funds are transferred over to the CIV. A progress report will be brought to the December committee meeting.

## **6 RISK MANAGEMENT**

- 6.1 At present a detailed assessment of risks in this area are difficult due to the level of uncertainty regarding future policy direction. However it is clear that changes of a significant nature will happen and these will be reflected within the Funds Risk Register.
- 6.2 The Avon Pension Fund Committee is the formal decision-making body for the Fund. As such it has responsibility to ensure adequate risk management processes are in place. It discharges this responsibility by ensuring the Fund has an appropriate investment strategy and investment management structure in place that is regularly monitored. The creation of an Investment Panel further strengthens the governance of investment matters and contributes to reduced risk in these areas.

## **7 EQUALITIES**

- 7.1 An equalities impact assessment is not necessary.

## **8 CONSULTATION**

- 8.1 Report and Issues have been subject to consultation with the S151 Officer, Monitoring Officer and Strategic Director of Resources.

<b>Contact person</b>	Tony Bartlett, Head of Business Finance & Pensions 01225 477302  Liz Woodyard, Investments Manager 01225 395306  Jeff Wring, Head of Audit West 01225 477323
<b>Background papers</b>	
<b>Please contact the report author if you need to access this report in an alternative format</b>	